



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,480	03/23/2004	Claudio Filippone		1700

7590 08/22/2006  
CLAUDIO FILIPPONE  
8708 48TH PLACE  
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20740

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HOANG M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3748

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/806,480

Applicant(s)

FILIPPONE, CLAUDIO

Examiner

Hoang M. Nguyen

Art Unit

3748

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 38-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 38-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3748

Applicant's amendment dated July 03, 2006, has been fully considered.

Applicant has amended the claims and argued that the applied references fail to disclose two limitations: 1) the clutch control system, and 2) the condensed chamber inside the turbine housing. Regarding the clutch control system, please note the Examiner made a 103 rejection for claims 48, 57, using US 5327987 (Abdelmalek) as a secondary reference to show the clutch control system. Regarding the condensed chamber, please note chamber 61 in the primary reference, Striebich, has condensate and can be considered as condensed chamber. Note column 4, lines 6-9, chamber 61 is called a condenser.

For new claims 59-61, a new reference, US 5341060 (Kawamura) is used to disclose a flywheel.

Applicant has argued that the obviousness double patenting rejections should be withdrawn because there is patentably distinct subject matter in this application as compared with the parent patents. This argument is improper because the patents recite all the claimed subject matter in this application plus many extra limitations. Therefore, the patents anticipate the claimed invention of this application and the obviousness double patenting rejection must be made.

For the reasons set forth above, the following rejections have been made.

Art Unit: 3748

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4590766 (Striebich).

Striebich discloses an auxiliary power unit for an ICE 1, said auxiliary unit being a waste heat turbine unit 3 being driven by the waste heat from the ICE, gearing mechanism are provided at 9, 10, between the crankshaft 8 and the waste heat turbine. Said waste heat turbine comprising a steam turbine 12 and a condenser having a condensing chamber 13, expander wheel 49 and nozzles 52; on column 4, lines 51-55, Striebich discloses that the gearing 9, 10, may include a free wheel clutch. Regarding the condensed chamber, please note chamber 61 in the primary reference, Striebich, has condensate and can be considered as condensed chamber. Note column 4, lines 6-9, chamber 61 is called a condenser.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-50, 54-58, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 4590766 (Striebich) in view of U.S. 5327987 (Abdelmalek).

Art Unit: 3748

Striebich discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above, but does not disclose a control unit for controlling the engagement of the clutch in response to the speeds of the auxiliary unit and the engine. Abdelmalek is relied upon to disclose it's well known to have a controller 117 in a hybrid engine to control the clutch 101a, 102a, in response to the speeds of an auxiliary unit (electric motor 102) and the main engine (vehicle speed) (note column 6, lines 10-60). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a control unit and speed sensor in Striebich as taught by Abdelmalek for the purpose of controlling the clutch to drive the vehicle more efficiently.

Claims 59, 61, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Striebich in view of Abdelmalek and further in view of Kawamura. Striebich as modified discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 38, but does not disclose a flywheel. Kawamura is relied upon to disclose it's well known to use a flywheel 2 in a waste heat system of an engine 1. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a flywheel in Striebich as taught by Kawamura for the purpose of storing energy.

Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Striebich in view of Kawamura. Striebich discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 51, but does not disclose a flywheel. Kawamura is relied upon to disclose it's well known to use a flywheel 2 in a waste heat system of an engine 1. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3748

having ordinary skill in the art to provide a flywheel in Striebich as taught by Kawamura for the purpose of storing energy.

Claims 37-61 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6374613, or US patent no. 6729137. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

US 6327613 or US 6729137 anticipates the claimed subject matter of this application. The claims of those patents recite more elements than in this application and therefore the claims of this application should be rejected under obviousness double patenting rejection. *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.


Art Unit: 3748

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion, can be reached on (571)-272-4859. The fax phone number for the Examiner is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication, and (703) 872-9303 for after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3700.

A handwritten signature in black ink, followed by the date "08/11/06" written in a similar style.

HOANG NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen  
8/11/2006